Approved For Release 2003/07/29: CIA-RDP80B01676R003700080043-9

JUN 1960

Mr. George Tout VALLEY TIMES 11109 Magnolia Blvd. North Hollywood, Calif.

Dear Mr. Todt:

Thank you for sending me your column of 28 May 1960 regarding my brother John Fester Dulles. I appreciate what you wrote, and I plan to show your column to his widow.

From time to time I receive the various columns you send to me, and I do appreciate your thoughtfulmes.

Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles Director

ODCI/SJGrogan/nhh (2 June 1960)

Diestribution:

Orig - Add

1 - IR w/basic

2 - SUCOSEN 57.00

KR 60-6137/4

STAT

301676R00**3**700080043.

Approved For Release 2003/07/29: CIA-RDP80B01676R003700080043-9

11109 Magnolia Blvd., North Hollywood, Calif. POplar 3-5141 :: TRiangle 7-3471

May 28, 1960

Mr. Allen Dulles, Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

Enclosed is a recent column of mine which is a tribute to your deceased brother, John Foster Dulles. I think he was a great American, but you will be able to tell that upon reading the column.

It is my personal belief that the tougher we get with the Reds, the more tractable the latter will become. They are only amenable to force, or the threat of force. Which is typical of those who suffer from a bully complex.

With continuing regards,

I remain.

GT:h

SATURDAY, MAY 21, 1960

TODT'S OPINION Profit Seen In

Summit Debacle Todt

"Why make a treaty with a liar who will break his word before nightfall?"—GENGHIS KHAN

The spewing forth by the Soviet Union at the nowflattened summit, in the person of Nikita S. Khru-

shchev, its number one tyrant for the moment, may demonstrate that there is a silver lining to every cloud. This should be no exception. Here is



Todt

why:

The Bolshevik leaders in the Kremlin, plus their Red Chinese stooges in Peiping, are now revealed to be not very far removed from wily neurotics with a schizophrenic bent—if indeed there is any real difference. Many of us have known this right along. But there also have been far-too-numerous wishful thinkers in the Western camp who blindly hoped otherwise.

Particularly to the clique devoted to world government, centered largely in the World Federalist movement and related radical liberal organizations. To these starry-eyed dreamers, Khrushchev and Mao Tse-tung could do no wrong. They were willing to make any ac-commodation with the enemy, even to the extent of giving up our qualitative nuclear arms and national sovereignty. This kind of lunacy ought to be apparent now for what it really is to all who take the trouble to open their

eyes wide to tough-minded realism.

The year 1960 is no time for the lion to lie down with the lamb—or the wolves with the goats! If we have learned that much as a result of Mr. K's verbal bombardment of the West in Paris, it will still be worthwhile to us. But there is more to be absorbed in this intriguing lesson.

The breakdown of the summit was no great loss—in fact many Americans had long been counseling against it. After the idiotic results produced by the first summit meeting in Geneva several years ago, I thought it was absurd for us to be led like a lamb to another slaughter.

Quite sadly, events have proven us right. I write the word "sadly" because this is one time when we might prefer to have been mistaken. For it was a real tragedy to see the vituperative Khrushchev, cause President Eisenhower to go all the way to Paris—almost a prop, as it were, for his hammy histrionics—just to be unloaded upon.

Here was Eisenhower, a soldier who has devoted his whole term in the Presidency to world peace, suddenly turned into the butt for Mr. K's ribald and scurrilous buffoonery.

Fortunately for us, Ike was so mad about the lunatic insults hurled at him by the Red boss, that he almost blew his top. And this may be the most hopeful sign of all for us. Because whenever the President gets angry enough to do a little sabre-rattling on his own account—I am convinced that the Communists will retreat.

Thanks to our superb Strategic Air Force, we are immeasurably stronger than they are today. Weapons on the drawing board and weapons-intens. SAC could obliterate four Russias now.

Most important, let's

FRIDAY, MAY 27, 1960

TODT'S OPINION

House Probers Needed Badly

By George Todt

"Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty."— Curran.

Ought we to do away with the House Un-American Activities Committee?

The Cafifornia Democratic Couhcil says we should. Also most of the radical liberal organizations within our republic. Rep. James



Todt

R o o sevelt (D-Calif.) has had a bill to this effect before Congress during the past two years.

I do not agree with these people.

Recently I was invited to address the Los Angeles County Republican Assembly on this subject. My theme: these are the most dangerous times ever faced by our republic and we cannot afford the foolish luxury of being without the HUAC.

In diametrical opposition to the CDC, I found not a single member of the Republican Assembly who wanted to abolish HUAC. This is significant, in view of the fact that the committee is chairmaned by one of the nation's most able Democrats, Rep. Francis E. Walter of Pennsylvania. No party politics here.

The truth of the matter is that HUAC is in danger oday because of the rise to power of the internationalist World Federalist clique among the Democratic leadership, both on the Cantonnia and national level. It has always been present, since the end of World War II, but

CDC manifesto issued at Fresno.

These are the people who are in the forefront with their incredible counsel that we disarm unilaterally, if necessary, and dissolve our national sovereignty—all for the sake of "one world."

We are only indulging in the wildest and most incompetent daydreaming if we imagine the Communist international conspiracy will play ball with us in any form of "world government" which would be acceptable to the moral and spiritual ideals of our republic.

On the contrary, such a controversial vehicle would merely be utilized to trap the people of this nation—and our allies in the bargain—into the slave world of Marxist mediocrity.

The reason all subversives fear the HUAC is because it has the capability of attracting attention to their misdeeds against our way of life. They cannot stand the spotlight of public scrutiny. That is why many voices are being raised today — some of them innocent dupes, perhaps—to ask us to get rid of our protectors.

Taking a strong line against subversion before the American Legion corvention here in 1956, Vice President Nixon, a former HUAC member, had this

"It is time that we realize that well-intentioned but mistaken men can be as great a threat to a nation's security as Communists or fellow travelers. The best example of this is Czechoslovakia. The leaders of that unhappy country, with the best of intentions, took Communists into their governance."

Approved For Research, since the end of World War II, but munists into their governments in the furth took over 10080043-9 inance and out in the vakia!"

Why make agreements with them in the first place? History over the last 42 years proves conclusively that the amoral men in the Krephin cheat and break their word at and break their word at any given moment— whenever it is opportune for them to do so.

Obviously, it is apparent that this is neurotic action when viewed from any angle. But there is also something at least weak-minded about nations that permit such action to recur with alarming frequency—and beg for more! for more!

Dulles observed this truism and treated the Communists accordingly. He told the world that diplomacy was a fine art in which adept nations often marched close to the brink of war to get their brink of war to gain their points—and then moved away skillfully before blows were traded.

His utter fearlessness of the Soviets and their com-plex propagantia lines made him the leader of the West at the diplomatic table. He kept the Reds off balance. And he called the tune.

the tune.

Gruff, aggressive tactics of this kind are the only ones capable of gaining respect from our wily enemies today on the world stage. They understand force and power. But they will kick pacifists and appeasers in the teeth. This is the certain way to destruction. way to destruction.

If we are to survive in the modern world jungle, we will give short shrift to such mane counsel in the future. We must demand of our leaders that they be tough in every respect against the Reds - show them forcefully that we will not be pushed around.

ernando Valley Dulles Had Right Idea About Russ

By GEORGE TOD!

"The best doctor is the one you run for and can't find." —Dide at -Dider t

The crude and impossible antics of a Khr sh-chev at the summit an best be explained, it seems to me, by a

paradox.
The less we have to do with the art of brinkmanship, e x pounded by the late and gifted Secretary of State John Fo



State John Foster Dulle the closer we come reali tically to the brack of wa with the Sovie Unior Why is this so?

Dulles was a great man-because he was rough minded and practical. He knew how to draft with Ivan. Here was the med emission of a lvan, riere was the bled ern-day equivalent of a Bismarck or a Teddy Roosevelt: iron fist in the traditional velvet plove of diplomacy.

Like it or not, we were able to hold our ground with the Communia warlords while he was alive. That is the tes that counts with us. Unser the guidance of this tough, old man we held the initiative in world affairs. But do we do so now

The answer answer is unfortunately, negative. The reason is that we'l bught the "peaceful co-existence" line after Leiles' death. Much of this was at the insistence of some is at the insistence of some of our allies, notably Great Britain.

Dulles did not like the idea. However, he realized that our allies had a right to their say in matters affecting our metual security. So he sent along—but he did not buy the concept personally and dragged his fee at every opportunity.

"Peaceful co-existence" "Peaceful co-existence" did not receive a real flav and the flav and the swan and the swan a real mistake.

If the Soviet leaders were men of their word, if they knew and the swan and the

were men of their word if they knew and respected morality, thermight be a chance. But such is not the case. They are atheists who dery God, and they deny spirituality and morality out. uality and morality out